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Abstract
The main problem faced in the electromagnetic stir casting is the selection of optimum combination of input variables for achieving the required mechanical properties of composites. This problem can be solved by the development of relationship between the electromagnetic parameters and the tensile strength of composite by response surface methodology. This work focuses on the review of electromagnetic stir casting parameters effect on Mechanical Properties of MMC and Hybrid MMC. From the exhaustive review, it was observed that for better mechanical properties, lower porosity and finer grain structure of composite higher stirring current, higher stirring time and lower matrix pouring temperature required.

1. Introduction
In a variety of applications of casting, dendritic microstructure is not enviable as it results in poor mechanical properties. Enhancing the fluid flow of melted matrix alloy in the mushy zone by mechanical stirring is one of the ways to suppress this dendritic [1]. Quite a lot of manufacturing techniques have been made up for fabrication of metal matrix composites or hybrid metal matrix composites. One of the accepted ways of generating non-dendritic microstructure is to stir the liquid metal during solidification using an electromagnetic force field [2, 3]. In metal matrix composites fabrication process, the magnetic field is applied to produce a magnetic field force inside the fluid: $\vec{F} = \vec{j} \times \vec{B}$ (2)

2. Literature Review
Large volume of work was earlier carried on fabrication of metal matrix composites by electromagnetic stir casting process and characterization of hybrid metal matrix composites before and after heat treatment with respect to mechanical and tribological properties. Review of Electromagnetic Stir Casting and its Process Parameters for the Metal Matrix Fabrication are given in Table 1.

3. Fabrication of metal matrix composite by EMS
Figure 2 presents the representation of electromagnetic stir casting set-up to fabricate metal matrix composite. A thermocouple is inserted in graphite crucible and it gives the feedback of the temperature of metal matrix composite during stirring. The argon gas can be used during the mixing of MMC or hybrid MMC. Coolant is used to provide the proper cooling to the windings of motor and vacuum pump is used to provide vacuum inside the box to prevent casting defects.

After the preparation of the MMC/hybrid MMC by the electromagnetic stir casting technique, the melt composites can be transferred to the universal testing machine (UTM) for squeeze pressure. The squeeze pressure is applied in mushy zone to eliminate the porosity and solidification shrinkage as shown in Figure 2.

4. Response surface methodology (RSM)
RSM is a set of mathematical and statistical methods to estimate interactions between a collection of quantitative independent variables and one or more responses. The RSM makes possible to estimate process variables that may or may not have significant outcome in the foremost response. The optimization of electromagnetic stir casting parameters is an essential step in the processing of MMCs. The electromagnetic stir casting process optimization implies the evaluation of squeeze pressure, stirring current, stirring time and pouring temperature of matrix [13].
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Table: Electromagnetic Stir Casting and its Process Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. No.</th>
<th>Author's Name (Year)</th>
<th>Conclusion and Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 18       | E.J. Zoqui et al. (2002) | 1. The liquidus temperature of A356 was observed 609°C.  
2. Solidus temperature of A356 was found 554°C.  
3. A356 alloy was melted in an electric melting furnace.  
4. The semi-solid range was 55°C.  
5. Power-600 W, 900 W, 1200 W.  
6. Frequency- 60 Hz  
7. Current of 10, 13 and 15.4 A for 35, 40 and 45 V, respectively  
8. Higher electromagnetic power, i.e. 1200 watt produced a very refined rheocast structure |
| 19       | S. Nafisi et al. (2006) | 1. For pouring temperature of 690°C, the grain structure is quite coarse with an average grain size of ~200 μm.  
2. By reducing the pouring temperature to 660°C, the grain size was approximately the same and no significant differences detected in the structure.  
3. At 630°C, the columnar dendritic structure changed to equiaxed morphology and the grain size has drastically reduced by almost 70%.  
4. In all above process stirring time was kept constant 660 seconds |
| 20       | C.G. Kang et al. (2007) | 1. Pouring temperature- 630°C to 715°C.  
2. The 60 Hz of frequency and 220V of electric power was used with current  
3. Input Current- 60 to 100 amperes.  
4. Stirring time was set up as 30 s, 60 s and 90 s to compare the microstructure |
| 21       | Dehong Lu et al. (2007) | 1. Poured into the graphite crucible at 750°C  
2. In the electromagnetic stirring equipment, and cooled at a constant cooling rate 8 °C/min.  
3. As soon as the temperature of the melt reached 690°C, the melt was stirred by the rotary magnetic field under continuous cooling conditions.  
4. A series of stirring current was applied, i.e., 32, 28, 24, 20, 16, 6, 4 and 0A.  
5. Once the temperature of the melt reached 630°C, electromagnetic stirring stopped.  
6. The grain size structure was weakened with the decreasing of the stirring current, and vanished rapidly at current lower than 12 A. |
| 22       | C. G. Kang et al. (2008) | 1. Microstructures coarsened when stirring occurred in the liquid phase at melt temperatures greater than 675°C, but were fine and globular when stirring at a melt temperature of 655°C.  
2. The Stirring current also affected the microstructures by somewhat crushing the dendritic microstructures at 60 A, but the solid particles coarsened at 80 A due to the attraction and recombination of the adjacent particles. |
| 23       | Zhang Xiao-li et al. (2008) | 1. Solidus and liquidus temperature are 470 and 595°C.  
2. EM-stirrer was made up of a three-phase–three-pole coil, and the power of electric supply was 25 kW and frequency was 50 Hz. When alternating current circulates through the coils, a changing electromagnetic field would be produced, and then an induced current J generates in the metal according to Faraday’s law, so an electromagnetic force (Lorentz force) F would be imposed on the molten metal. |
| 24       | C. G. Kang et al. (2009) | 1. When liquid segregation occurred significantly during rheoforging of Al6061 alloy, strengths as low as 268 MPa were observed.  
2. Rheoforged Al6061 alloy with uniform distribution of solid and liquid phase (no segregation) within the microstructure showed good mechanical properties with tensile strengths of 341 MPa |
| 25       | T. W. Kim et al. (2009) | 1. Porosity was observed at very low stirring current  
2. No vortex on the free surface generated at 20–40 A of electrical stirring current.  
3. Air was trapped in the center of the material with excessive vortex occurred at 60 A.  
4. Pouring temperature 720°C. |
| 26       | N. Barman et al. (2009) | 1. Numerical and experimental studies on transport phenomena during solidification of an aluminum alloy in the presence of linear electromagnetic stirring are performed. |
| 27       | BAI Yue-long et al. (2009) | 1. Stainless steel crucible was used, whose dimension was 160 mm in height and 80 mm in diameter and its preheat temperature was 200°C.  
2. When the pouring temperature of 670°C is adopted, the primary α(Al) particles are dendritic with large size, but when the pouring temperature is decreased to 650°C, most of the primary α(Al) particles are rosette-like and the particle size becomes large. If the pouring temperature continues to decrease to 630°C, most of the primary α(Al) particles are globular with small particle size. |
1. The liquidus temperature and eutectic temperature were about 615°C and 577°C, respectively.
2. The alloy was melted in a stainless steel crucible with a 104mm diameter and a length of 150mm. There was a layer of boron nitride coating on the inner surface of the crucible to prevent a reaction between the crucible and aluminium melt.
3. The frequency was set to 25 Hz and the current was 30A in these series of experiments.
4. Stainless steel crucible was preheated to about 550°C.
5. The pouring temperature ranged between 630°C and 690°C for the superheat variation.
6. The cooling rate in the crucible was about 0.5°C/s.
7. Globular structure is apparent at the pouring temperature of 630°C.

C. Mapelli et al. (2010)

1. Solidification thermal range: 615–655°C.
2. This alloy has been cast in all the experimental trials at 685°C.
3. Frequency: 15 Hz
5. Refinement of the grain size as the current intensity increases.

Gab Chung et al. (2012)

1. Stirring current (A): 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60
2. Stirring time (s): 60, 90, 120, and 200
3. Vacuum pressure (kPa): 80, 50, 20, and 5
4. Pouring temperature: 620°C.
5. At the pouring temperature of 620°C, the Optimum EMS stirring current and time were obtained 30 A and 60 s, respectively. The vacuum level with the least presence of the porosity was determined at 50 kPa, while further increase in the vacuum level (decrease in the applied pressure) resulted in increase in the presence of the porosity.

Prabhkiran Kaur et al. (2012)

1. Stirring of the melt was carried out for 2 min in the mushy zone under a temperature range from 625°C to 645°C in a graphite crucible which was preheated to 300°C.
2. The current was increased gradually from 0 to 30 A in orders to increase the stirring speed of the material.
3. Pouring temperature: 620°C±5°C

Y.D. Yu et al. (2013)

1. The melt was poured into a preheated (to 350°C) stainless steel mold with a diameter of 45 mm, height of 200 mm and a wall-thickness of 10 mm.
2. Without magnetic field UTS-232 MPa, with magnetic field UTS- 254 MPa.

**Fig. 1:** Experimental Set-up of Electromagnetic Stir Casting Process

**Fig. 2:** Schematic diagram of squeeze casting process on UTM

The central composite design (CCD) was used to build a second order experimental model. CCD is composed of factorial points, a set of central points, and axial points equidistant to the center point. The factorial points are component of CCD of the class $2^k$ factorial, where $k$ represents the number of appropriate factors or variables. The central point component in the CCD is the average of the high and low values determined in the factorial points. The central point or zero point may be defined as the region where the optimal conditions are supposed to meet. Steps
involved in central composite design are given in Figure 4 [14, 15].

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 3:** Steps involved in central composite design [20]

![Sample specification](image)

**Fig. 4:** Sample specification; (a) tensile sample, (b) Fatigue sample [18]

### 5. Sample Preparation:
The developed composites at optimum parameters can be characterized in terms of tensile strength, fatigue strength, hardness (10 mm x 10 mm x 25 mm) and toughness (10 mm x 10 mm x 55 mm with 45° V notch at center of 2 mm depth). The sample sizes for tensile strength and fatigue strength are shown in Figure 3 (a & b).

### 6. Conclusions
The following conclusions can be drawn from the exhaustive review:-
1. Electromagnetic stir casting process followed by squeeze pressure can be adapted favorably for the fabrication of MMC and hybrid MMC.
2. Pouring temperature of matrix in crucible after melting in furnace was kept low (near to its liquidus temperature).
3. For high pouring temperature, the grain structure is quite coarse while at low pouring temperature, the columnar dendritic structure changed to equiaxed morphology and the grain size has drastically reduced.
4. Higher electromagnetic power produced a very refined structure of matrix.
5. The grain size structure was weakened with the decreasing of the stirring current, and vanished rapidly at very low current.
6. Porosity was observed at very low stirring current.
7. At very higher current excessive vortex occurred, resulting air was trapped in the centre of the material.
8. The current was increased gradually in orders to increase the stirring speed of material.
9. Higher stirring current, higher stirring time and lower matrix pouring temperature provides better mechanical properties and lower porosity.
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